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## General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- $\quad$ There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- $\quad$ All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(a)(i) | Any two from <br> - departureTime (1) <br> - flightNumber (1) <br> - destination (1) <br> - gateNumber (1) <br> - flightStatus (1) <br> - currentTime (1) | - Accept any equivalent names that are sensible in the context <br> - Accept variable names with spaces | 2 |
| Question Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| 1(a)(ii) | - In order to relate the name (1) of the variable to its job/role / the data stored (1) <br> - So that it is easier to read the code (1) and follow the programmer's logic (1) / enables multiple programmers to work on the code (1) <br> - So that it is easier to understand (1) how the program works (1) / maintain the program over time (1) | - |  |
| Question Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| 1(a)(iii) | Any one from <br> - time / getTime / currentTime (1) <br> - format (1) | $\bullet$ | 1 |


|  | • string manipulation / concatenation (1) <br> - sort / search <br> - print / display (1) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Question Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(b) | - (basic_allowance / 30 * plane_type)(1) <br> - + weather_condition (1) <br> Examples: <br> - (basic allowance / 30 * plane type) + weather condition <br> - plane_type * 30 + weather_condition | - Ensure that expression follows the BIDMAS rules of precedence <br> - Allow if basic allowance is replaced by 30 | 2 |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2(a) | Sequence (1) |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |



| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2(c) | One mark for each item in the correct cell |  |  |
|  | • $4 / 5 / 6(1)$ <br> $\bullet$ Any number $<=0(1)$ |  |  |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 3(a) | SENSOR_W (1) | Ignore case and spacing |  |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 ( b )}$ | The algorithm would allow for two operations to be carried out at <br> the same time (1) |  |  |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 ( c )}$ | IF (soapRequest) = True THEN <br> SET soapStatus TO "ON" <br> ELSE (1) <br> SET soapStatus TO "OFF" (1) <br> END IF | ELSEIF not accepted |  |



| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(a)(i) | Record (1) | Accept correct language specific structures, e.g. list, dictionary | 1 |
| Question Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| 4(a)(ii) | It cannot store mixed data types (1) |  | 1 |
| Question Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| 4(b) | - Open (1) <br> - Close (1) | Accept Read (1), Write(1) and Amend(1) | 2 |
| Question Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| 4(c)(i) | Run-time error (1) |  | 1 |
| Question Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| 4(c)(ii) | Any two from: |  | 2 |


|  | • File does not exist (1) <br> $\bullet$ Incorrect filename (1) <br> $\bullet$ Incorrect path (1) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 4(d) | An explanation such as: <br> - More efficient to use a loop / using a loop saves times (1) <br> because <br> - it is not necessary to write out the commands multiple times (1) <br> - the same calculations need to be carried out for each employee / the <br> same process needs to be repeated for each employee (1) |  |  |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(a) | One mark for each item in the correct cell. <br> - Payment (1) <br> - Calculate expiry time / Addition / current time +2 (1) <br> - Ticket (1) |  | 3 |
| Question Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| 5(b) | One mark for each item in the correct cell. <br> - Integer (1) <br> - String (1) <br> - Real / Float / Double (1) |  | 3 |
| Question Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| 5(c)(i) | An explanation such as: <br> - Using normal division results in real numbers (1) which when rounded to two decimal places could result in errors / pence that don't add up to 100 / the machine might give incorrect amount of change (1) <br> - Amount has been converted into whole numbers of pence therefore the calculations need to produce a remainder in whole numbers of |  | 2 |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 ( c ) ( i i )}$ | One mark for each part of the logic illustrated in the overall flow |  | $\mathbf{5}$ |


| pence | tens | fives | ones | fiftyP | twentyP | tenP | fiveP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1755 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1) |
| 755 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 255 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | (1) |  |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1) |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | (1) |


| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(a) | Flowcharts <br> - To communicate algorithms/ideas (1) in a graphical and understandable format (1) / at a high level of abstraction (1) <br> Pseudocode <br> - To allow focus on the logic of the solution (1) without concern for the syntax of a specific programming language (1) <br> - To provide detail at a lower level of abstraction (1) which is close to actual code (1). |  | 4 |
| Question Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| 6(b) | Line 10: <br> Error <br> - currWeight = 30 only finds items exactly of weight 30 as too heavy (1) Correction <br> - if (currWeight > $30 />=30$ )(1) <br> Line 12: <br> Error <br> - Adds overweight item to total, which is not right because item can't travel (1) <br> Correction <br> - Replace with nothing / add a comment to the front / line needs to be deleted (1) | - Award any replacement for line 12 that indicates the line should not be executed <br> - Do not award an empty cell as equivalent of deleting line | 4 |

$\square$

| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 7(a)(i) | Look up (1) table | •Do not penalise syntax <br> $\bullet$ Accept appropriate <br> alternative values for the <br> test data |  |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7(a)(ii) | One mark for values 2 and 4 (1) <br> One mark for slice indicator (1) <br> One mark for format - label [ ] (1) <br> - label[2:4] / label $(2,4) /$ label[2;4] | - Slice indicator cannot be arithmetic operator <br> - Accept values 2 and 5 |  |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 ( b )}$ | There is a maximum of 3 marks for functionality. <br> There is a maximum of 3 marks for accuracy of notation for a relevant <br> solution. <br> The marks for functionality and accuracy are awarded independently. <br> Example: | • Use of output box for <br> 'pushing' is acceptable. |  |


| Aspect of <br> Solution | Marks |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Functionality | No rewardable <br> content | There are significant errors in <br> logic, leading to an overall <br> solution that is non-functional | There are minor errors in logic, leading to an <br> overall solution that is not completely <br> functional | There are no errors in logic, <br> leading to an overall solution <br> that is fully functional |
| Accuracy of <br> notation | No rewardable <br> content | Notation follows a broadly <br> unrecognisable convention that <br> is applied inconsistently, <br> although aspects of it are <br> discernible | Notation follows a recognisable convention <br> which is broadly discernible but is applied <br> inconsistently | Notation follows a recognisable <br> convention and is applied <br> consistently throughout |



| Question Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8(a) | One from, $2,4,15,22$ |  | 1 |
| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| 8(b) | Line 9 <br> FUNCTION(1) planeQueue (pRunway, pFlight) both parameters (2) / <br> FUNCTION(1) planeQueue (pFlight)one parameter (1) <br> Line 35 <br> planeQueue (1)(runway, flightID) (1) <br> matching order in call (1) |  | 6 |
| Question Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| 8(c) | It returns (1) a result to the caller |  | 1 |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional <br> Guidance | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8(d) | A comparison to include four from: <br> - Line 12 is a local variable (1), which only exists in memory when the function <br> is called (1) memory is deallocated / becomes available for re-use when the <br> function exits (1) |  |  |
| - Line 6 is a global variable (1), which exists in memory for the entire life of the <br> program (1)/ is accessible from anywhere in the program (1) | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |


| Question <br> Number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | Indicative content: <br> - Assignment of found Boolean <br> - Assignment of index <br> - While loop with two conditions, with use of length function <br> - Selection statement <br> - Increment count <br> - Set terminating condition <br> - Else increment index <br> - End loop | Hard-coding length of array to 8 reduces the efficiency of the algorithm and does not meet one of the requirements set out in the scenario <br> Using a 'for' loop processes every item in the unsorted list, which is not necessary. Response should use a 'while' loop and stop processing when found. <br> Hard-coding of values for inBarrier is acceptable |  |


|  |  | Some languages may need a dimension <br> for the LENGTH function. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Aspect of Solution | Marks |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Functionality | No rewardable content | There are significant errors in logic, leading to an overall solution that is nonfunctional | There are minor errors in logic, leading to an overall solution that is not completely functional | There are no errors in logic, leading to an overall solution that is fully functional |
| Accuracy of notation | No rewardable content | Notation follows a broadly unrecognisable convention that is applied inconsistently, although aspects of it are discernible | Notation follows a recognisable convention which is broadly discernible but is applied inconsistently | Notation follows a recognisable convention and is applied consistently throughout |
| Efficiency, <br> Appropriateness, and Accuracy of Solution | No rewardable content | There are significant errors in the selection and accurate use of appropriate techniques. | Techniques have been selected and used with some accuracy, although the techniques may not be the most appropriate. | Techniques have been selected and used accurately and appropriately throughout to demonstrate an efficient solution. |
| There is a maximum of 3 marks for functionality. <br> There is a maximum of 3 marks for accuracy of notation. <br> There is a maximum of 3 marks for efficiency, appropriateness, and accuracy of solution. Each row is awarded independently. |  |  |  |  |

```
ARRAY counts
SET counts TO [[2, 0], [8, 0], [5, 0], [4, 0], [1, 0], [3, 0], [6, 0], [7, 0]]
INTEGER inBarrier
BOOLEAN found
INTEGER index
SET found TO False
SET index TO 0
WHILE (NOT found) AND (index < LENGTH (counts)) DO
    IF (counts[index][0] = inBarrier) THEN
        SET counts[index][1] TO counts[index][1] + 1
        SET found TO True
    ELSE
        SET index TO index + 1
    END IF
END WHILE
```

